concerns whether abortion is a medically necessary operation.

What happens, by and large, if a woman who has an unwanted pregnancy is denied an abortion? Her attitude changes dramatically from disappointment, perhaps desperation, to acceptance and often to love. It is no wonder many people question the provision of abortion on demand or without good medical reasons, and that others question it for moral reasons.

Abortion is both a moral and a medical issue, and we should not be surprised if people do not regard it as a necessary procedure in the same way they view other operations.

Patrick G. Coffey
Surgeon (retired)
Newcastle, Ont.

Reference

Your homey analogy of abortion as a patchwork quilt — a warm, comforting and maternal object if ever there was one — furthers the dishonourable tradition of euphemizing the medicalized killing of small human beings.1 Most appealing, this is a quilt with holes — patching, perhaps before the fire with a kettle for tea, is needed. Not easily would the reader suspect that an oppressive abortion-rights orthodoxy, with a paranoid determination to avoid straight talk about what abortion really is, seeks to stifle even the few pathetic remnants of resistance to this national tragedy.

CMA members remain deeply divided on these issues. An even-handed editorial and reporting style would show respect for this diversity of opinion.

Will Johnston
Secretary-Treasurer
Canadian Physicians for Life
Vancouver, BC

Reference

Does the only writing you get to do these days involve patients’ charts or grant applications? Here’s a chance to give your writing muscles a different kind of workout.

We’re looking for spoofs of medical research, reflective essays on life and tales of medical adventure (or misadventure) for our 2001 Holiday Review. For inspiration, click on Back Issues at www.cma.ca/cmaj and go to the December issues for 1998, 1999 and 2000. Last year, for example, we published a report on the psychiatric problems facing Winnie T. Pooh and colleagues.

To discuss an idea for the Holiday Review issue, contact the Editor, Dr. John Hoey (tel 800 663-7336 x2118; hoey@cmaj.ca) or the News Editor, Pat Sullivan (800 663-7336 x2126; sullip@cmaj.ca). Articles should be no more than 1200 words, and illustrations are encouraged. Submissions received by Oct. 1, 2001, are more likely to be published.

This year, we plan to sprinkle a variety of tidbits throughout the issue, and we need your help.

Send us:
• a letter to the editor that could find a home nowhere but the Holiday Review
• a postcard from the place where you live, with an anecdote about your practice
• an original cartoon inspired by your medical career
• a photograph of a day in the life of your office, hospital or clinic (you’ll need to get signed consent from any people in the photo)
• an obscure quotation on a holiday theme
• the title of the book you would bring with you if you were admitted to hospital, and the reason why you made this selection
• instructions on how someone in your medical specialty should approach the task of preparing, cooking and carving the holiday bird. The prize: the glory of publishing a winning entry in the first (and probably only) CMAJ Talk Turkey contest.

No more eyeball jugglers, please

The art on the cover of the April 17, 2001, issue of CMAJ is distasteful. A collage purports to show a white man in a doctor’s jacket juggling eyeballs and clocks. This is supposed to refer to an article concerning the Manitoba Cataract Waiting List Program.1 This article does not mention anything about the technical aspects of cataract surgery. As far as I know, cataract surgery does not involve enucleation of the eye.

Rather than simple “art” showing dismembered body parts, I would prefer photographs of Canadian nature scenes, or a white cover with the table...