Numerous randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have conclusively demonstrated that long-term anticoagulation in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF) can reduce the risk of stroke by approximately 68% per year, with a low annual risk of major hemorrhage (1.3%). Despite these impressive results, several studies have shown that the use of warfarin in the “real world” for the prevention of ischemic stroke is suboptimal, with only 15%–44% of patients with atrial fibrillation who had no contraindication to the therapy actually receiving warfarin. The reasons for this discrepancy between the RCT evidence and clinical practice patterns are unknown.

To test the hypothesis that physicians may underestimate the benefits and overestimate the risks of anticoagulation therapy, we conducted a survey of all cardiologists (n = 58), neurologists (n = 34) and general internists (n = 130) and a random sample of family physicians (n = 243) within the province of Alberta (first mailing in December 1998 and third mailing in June 1999). The response rate was 49% for family physicians, 73% for specialists and 60% overall.

The survey assessed knowledge by presenting a hypothetical patient with NVAF typical of those enrolled in the RCTs. Physicians were then asked to estimate the relative risk reduction (RRR) for stroke (if the patient was taking warfarin or if the patient was taking ASA) and the absolute risk of a major hemorrhage (if the patient was taking warfarin) on a continuum ranging from 0% to 100%, expressed as annual rates.

Respondents underestimated the RRR with warfarin therapy (mean estimate of 53%) compared with that shown in the RCTs (68%) and overestimated the risk of major hemorrhage (10% absolute annual risk v. 1% respectively) (Table 1). In contrast, the mean RRR of ASA was estimated to be higher (27%) than that documented in RCTs (21%). Interestingly, family physicians estimated ASA to be significantly more efficacious and the risk of major hemorrhage with warfarin to be significantly higher than the specialists had estimated. Because warfarin therapy is typically monitored by family physicians, these misconceptions may affect a large absolute number of patients.

The estimation of a higher risk of hemorrhage with warfarin by family physicians (compared with specialists) has been reported previously. This may be due to several factors. First, family physicians monitor warfarin therapy on a long-term basis and, therefore, they are more likely to witness adverse outcomes in clinical practice. As such, there may be a natural tendency to remember these sequelae selectively when the therapeutic benefits are intangible (namely, the avoidance of a thromboembolic event). Sec-
ond, the higher rates of hemorrhage reported by family physicians may reflect the reality of anticoagulant control outside RCTs. Specialists commonly recommend or initiate warfarin therapy based on clinical guidelines and RCTs, or both, but the long-term follow-up is left to the family physician. Patients outside RCTs typically have more concomitant disease and take more drugs, making it more difficult to provide them with appropriate anticoagulation therapy.

Whatever is causing these estimates, it is clear that considerable variability in the evidence for efficacy or hemorrhage with warfarin for patients with NVAF was reported by the survey respondents, as is reflected in the wide standard deviations. This suggests the need for further clinician education and strategies to quantify explicitly individual patients’ risks and the potential benefits of warfarin and ASA.
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CMAJ has opened a new senior editorial position. Working closely with the Editor, the Deputy Editor, Scientific, will have primary responsibility for improving the quality of scientific manuscripts published in the Journal.

The ideal candidate is likely to have a strong background in epidemiology, some experience in medical research, familiarity with the principles of peer review and a broad awareness of the clinical trends and political issues affecting the practice of medicine today. We are looking for applicants with good communication skills, critical acumen and the ability to write with clarity and wit. Editorial experience would be an asset. This is a full-time position located in Ottawa.

Curricula vitae supplemented by writing and editing samples should be submitted by September 30, 2001, to: John Hoey, Editor, CMAJ, 1867 Alta Vista Drive, Ottawa ON K1G 3Y6.