Fie on this book review

Such snobbery for V.S. Naipaul and Vincent Hanlon to peer down their noses at mere correspondents: “At the end of the day there remains something unsettling about a well written book that grew out of the stories, pictures and transient relationships of an overseas development writer and his subjects,” Hanlon writes in reviewing John Stackhouse’s Out of Poverty and into Something More Comfortable. Fie on the mercenary scrivener for penning an account of good things happening in developing countries just so he can try to turn a penny. Of course, Hanlon forgets that flood of suffocating mail he receives daily asking for aid, which suggests that donations are resulting in some of the optimism that Stackhouse has highlighted.

It is surprising that Naipaul, who influenced Hanlon, planted the seed that William Howard Russell wrote his reports on the Crimean War and the 1875 mutiny in India to line his pockets rather than to tell it as it was. History shows that Russell’s reports changed the course of the war by describing the terrible state of British administration. This should have alerted both Naipaul and Hanlon that the truth, no matter where it appears, can lead to good results. People like Russell and Stackhouse have had a direct influence on the New Internationalist, which Hanlon praises so highly, because their type of investigative reporting is what makes that journal highly desirable. If Stackhouse had published in that magazine, would Hanlon have suggested that the reader get the information at the library rather than buying the magazine?

Charles M. Godfrey
Physician
Toronto, Ont.
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[The author responds:]

Charles Godfrey considers me mean-spirited for suggesting that readers not purchase John Stackhouse’s well written account but read a library copy instead. I recently had a conversation with a proprietor of a bed and breakfast establishment about the art of earning a living. She remarked insightfully, “Yes, it’s about money, but it’s not all about money.” Some could argue that John Stackhouse has already been reasonably well remunerated for the 8 years he spent gathering and filing his stories.

Stackhouse challenges the stereotypical view of hopelessness as the defining fact of existence for the anonymous, impoverished billions on the planet. The basis for his measured optimism rests with the individuals he portrays who have not only hope, but names and identities. Many of the people he writes about achieve modest success in their daily struggles to find meaningful work, to feed and educate their children and to build strong communities, despite what most of us would see as a serious lack of cash.

By extension, the people Stackhouse describes, such as Amma and Sindaiga Sabar, defy us, readers of books and fellow human beings, to examine our own lives. Do we share their common goals? What degree of human solidarity is possible across the oceans that separate rich and poor? How shall it be expressed?

Stackhouse’s valuable contribution to the development debate — his “truth,” to use Godfrey’s word — should be available in community lending libraries and on the Web. Given the major theme of the work, such a distribution scheme seems to me a natural and low-cost alternative to the personal acquisition of not just this text, but many other books and magazines, including the New Internationalist. I agree with Godfrey that the truth will appear in unexpected places. And eventually that truth may set all of us free. Meanwhile, we shouldn’t stop asking the question, How shall we best spend our limited resources of energy, time and money?

Vincent M. Hanlon
Emergency physician
Lethbridge, Alta.
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Correction

The affiliation of Susan Tamblyn was described incorrectly in a recent news item. Tamblyn, the former chair of Canada’s National Advisory Committee on Immunization, is the Medical Officer of Health at the Perth District Health Unit in Stratford, Ont.
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