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INTRODUCTION

1. STRATEGIES PROBALES DU GOUVERNEMENT DU QUEBEC ET DU PARTI QUEBECOIS RELATIVES AU LIVRE BLANC SUR LA LANGUE ET AU BILL 1.

INFORMATION

A) LA VICTOIRE DU PARTI QUEBECOIS EST UN ACCIDENT HISTORIQUE. LE PQ N'ETAIT PAS PRET A PRENDRE LE POUVoir. TOUT CE QUE LE PQ AVAIT DE PRET CONCERNAIT LA LANGUE; MAIS IL N'ETAIT PAS PRET, QUANT A LA SORTE DE QUEBEC, QUELLE SORTE DE LEADERSHIP ET QUEL LEADER IL VOULAIT.

B) LE GOUVERNEMENT DU PARTI QUEBECOIS DESIRE MODIFIER SUBSTANIELLEMENT L'ENVIRONNEMENT PHYSIQUE ET HUMAIN DU QUEBEC; DANS CET OBJECTIF LA, L'INDEPENDANCE EST IMPORTANTPOUR TOUS, ESSENTIELLE POUR CERTAINS. LA PREDOMINANCE DU FRANCAIS EST ESSENTIELLE POUR TOUS ET LES CHANGEMENTS SOCIAUX SONT IMPORTANTS POUR TOUS, ESSENTIELS POUR CERTAINS.

C) TOUTES LES POLITIQUES DU PQ CHERCHENT A MODIFIER L'ENVIRONNEMENT PHYSIQUE EX: PARTICIPATION DES GENS AUX RELATIONS DE TRAVAIL, LES CITOYENS AVOIR PLUS DE CONTROLE SUR LEUR VIE. DANS L'ENVIRONNEMENT UNE SEULE CHOSE EST VRAIMENT ESSENTIELLE, C'EST LA PREDOMINANCE DU FRANCAIS, D'OU LE LIVRE BLANC, D'OU LE BILL 1.

LES PERSONNES CONSULTES POUR LA PREPARATION DU LIVRE BLANC SONT PARMI LES MEILLEURS ESPRITS DU QUEBEC FRANCAIS. LE LIVRE BLANC N'EST PAS UN EFFET DU HASARD, IL PUT LONGUEMENT PENSE, NON PAS POUR CACHER LES EFFETS ECONOMIQUES, MAIS POUR DEVENIR LA FAÇON D'ASSURER LA MODIFICATION LA PLUS PROFONDE DANS L'ENVIRONNEMENT. EN ASSURANT LA PREDOMINANCE DU FRANCAIS DE FAÇON COERCITIVE, L'ON ASSURE PRESQUE CERTAINEMENT LA SOUVERAINETE POLITIQUE DU QUEBEC; L'ON ASSURE PERSOU'AUSSI, QUELLE SORTE D'ENVIRONNEMENT QUE LES QUEBECOIS VONT AVOIR ET A TRAVERS 3 HYPOTHESES (ELABOREES PLUS LOIN DANS CE RAPPORT) L'ON ASSURE PRESQUE CERTAINEMENT D'VA PRENDRE LE LEADERSHIP AU SEIN DU PARTI QUEBECOIS. LA STRATEGIE EN ARRIERE DU LIVRE BLANC EST SUPERBE ET LES OBJECTIFS DU PARTI QUEBECOIS SERONT PRESQUE CERTAINEMENT ATEINTS. CE QUI RESTE A DETEKT, C'EST LE GROUPE QUI AURA LE LEADERSHIP ET LA REACTION PROBALE DE CE GROUPE DEVANT LES CONTRAINTES ECONOMIQUES A VENIR.
MALGRE PLUSIEURS DIVISIONS APPARENTES, LE GOUVERNEMENT DU PARTI QUEBECOIS EST ESSENTIELLEMENT DIVISE EN DEUX (2) GROUPES DE FORCE PRESQUE EGALE. LE PREMIER GROUPE EST UN GROUPE PLUS TRADITIONNEL, HERITIER DU NATIONALISME QUEBECOIS TRADITIONNEL, EDUQUE DANS LES MEILLEURES ECOLES, ENTRAINNE A LA VIE POLITIQUE ET A LA VIE ADMINISTRATIVE. CE SONT ESSENTIELLEMENT DES GENS QUI ONT DES OBJECTIONS D'ORDRE SPIRITUEL, SUIVANT LA PHILOSOPHIE DU PHILOSOPHE ALLEMAND HEGEL. MAINTENANT, L'EVESQUE S'EST TOURNÉ VERS CE GROUPE.

5. L'AUTRE GROUPE EST ISSU DE MODELES MOINS NATIONAUX, PLUTOT TIRES DE D'AUTRES PAYS, VISANT AU DEVELOPPEMENT DE L'HOMME PAR UNE MAITRISE DES STRUCTURES COLLECTIVES. LEURS PRINCIPES SONT D'INSPIRATION HUMANISTE, FONDES SUR LE CONTROLE DES STRUCTURES POUR PERMETTRE A L'HOMME DE SE DEVELOPPER. LE PRINCIPAL MODELE THEORIQUE SE TrouVERAIT DANS LA PHILOSOPHIE D'ENGELS.

6. EN SURFACE, LE PREMIER GROUPE A TOUJOURS ETE DECRI, COMME NATIONALISTE D'ABORD ET LE DEUXIEME COMME SOCIALISTE D'ABORD. LE PREMIER GROUPE APPARAIT EN SURFACE ETRE CELUI DE L'ECONOMIQUE ET LE DEUXIEME CELUI DU SOCIAL; LE PREMIER GROUPE EST CERTAINEMENT PLUS CONSERVATEUR QUE LE DEUXIEME, CE QUI EST TROMPEUR, C'EST QUE LE PREMIER GROUPE S'INTERESSE A L'ECONOMIQUE POUR CONTROLER UN ENVIRONNEMENT QUI VISERAIT A L'ETABLISSEMENT DE NOUVELLES NORMES D'ORDRE MORAL. LE DEUXIEME EN APPEARENCE NE S'INTERESSE PAS A L'ECONOMIQUE MAIS EN FAIT CHERCHE UN MATERIALISME NECESSAIRE POUR PRODUIRE L'ECLISION DE L'HUMANISME QU'IL DESIRE. CORRE/LIGNEA/ CELUI DU SOCIAL..

7. PLUS OU MOINS CONSCIENTEMENT, CES DEUX GROUPES ONT TOUJOURS ETE EN COMPETITION POUR LE LEADERSHIP DE LEUR PARTI ET L'INTERET ELECTORAL ET LA VICTOIRE N'A PAS REGLE LE PROBLEME A SAVOIR QUEL GROUPE VA GAGNER A LONG TERME. DANS LE PASSE, CHAQUE FOIS QU'IL Y A EU DES DIVERGENCES ENTRE LES DEUX GROUPES, A ETTE APPELE A FAIRE LA MEDIATION POUR QUE LES DEUX GROUPES S'ENTENDENT.

............3/
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EST DE SE BATTRE INTELLIGEMMENT POUR LA PRIORITÉ DU FRANÇAIS, POUR DÉFENDRE LES DROITS DE LA PERSONNE ET POUR AMENER IMMÉDIATEMENT UN DEBAT NATIONAL SUR LE SORT DES MINORITÉS À CE MOMENT LA, LE GROUPE À RENE LÉVESQUE GAGNE ET L'INDEPENDANCE APPARAÎT INÉVITABLE À COURT TERME, MAIS LES CONTRAINTES ÉCONOMIQUES OBLIGERERAIENT À UNE DISCUSSION QUI AMENERAIT UN RÉAMENAGEMENT PEUT ÊTRE SATISFAISANT POUR TOUS. UNE REACTION HOSTILE PROVOQUE LE CAMP RADICAL, RECULE TEMPORAIREMENT L'INDEPENDANCE AUPRÈS DU PEUPLE, MAIS SUSCITE DES PASSIONS CHEZ LES RADICAUX QUI S'EXERCERONT DANS LA VIOLENCE.

COMMENTAIRES DE L'ENQUÊTEUR

13. IL DEMEURE PAS MOINS QUE BEAUCAUP DE JEU DE COULISSE SE POURSUIT AU SEIN DU GOUVERNEMENT PEQUISTE ET QUE CERTAINS MINISTRES ET DÉPUTÉS PEQUISTES NE SAVENT DE QUEL CÔTE SE RANGER SOIT POUR LES MODÈRES OU SOIT POUR LES RADICAUX. CAMILLE LAURIN RECONNU COMME UN HOMME CONSILIANT REUSSIRA-T-IL À TROUVER UN TERRAIN D'ENTENTE AU SEIN DE SON GOUVERNEMENT, À CE MOMENT-CI, J'EN DOUTE POUR L'INSTANT A CAUSE DE DEUX GRANDES LIGNES DE PENSEE IDÉOLOGIQUES DISSEMBLABLES QUI COMPOSENT LE PARTI QUEBÉCOIS.

14. CECI VOUS EST SOUMIS POUR L'ACTION QUE VOUS JUGEREZ NÉCESSAIRE. UNE COPIE SERA REMISE À NOTRE GROUPE RECHERCHE ET ANALYSE POUR LEUR INFORMATION.
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Subject of program:

Interview with Premier LEVESQUE.
Several days before the November 15th election the Devouir editorialist and publisher Claude Ryan came out with a view that a Parti Quebecois government could be the best thing that ever happened to Quebec. The way Ryan saw it it would force the issue. Canadians would be compelled to face up to that final national debate. And that's exactly where we are now. Since November 15th much has happened. In his first major sortie out of the province Premier Levesque went down to New York and spoke of the inevitability of Quebec independence and he claimed that the United States would happily accept such Quebec independence. Well that optimism was decisively undercut during the Trudeau visit to Washington, and President Carter's statements that he supported Confederation and that he saw no reason why anybody should be afraid that Canada was on the verge of breaking up. And since then both Levesque and Trudeau seemed to have backed off the confrontation politics that was so much a feature of the early days of the Parti Quebecois administration. Late this week, however, Prime Minister Trudeau decided to capitalize on his newfound popularity. The pollsters showed him overtaking Tory Joe Clark and the pundits related back the existence of the Parti Quebecois government. So Trudeau came to Quebec saying that Ottawa would
never negotiate with a separate Quebec and scoffing
at the P.Q. notion of a common market arrangement
between Canada and some future new political and
economic entity. LEVESQUE, meanwhile, had just opened
the new session of the National Assembly with his
inaugural speech. It was long, at 32 pages, but short
on specifics. While sovereignty remained the ultimate
goal, the Premier, in his news conference, stressed the
administration commitment to good government. He promised
legislation on political financing, a new automobile
insurance system, laws affecting the rights of handicapped
people, and the protection of consumers. A major factor
is the proposed legislative framework for everything that
has to do with health and security at work.

You know that's a real, basically is a sort of jungle where
half a dozen, or maybe ten different departments have
different jurisdictions where there is the Workman's
Compensation Board which, I think, is up to now has been
nothing to write home about and is not exactly considered
the most equitable organization. All of that has to be
recast with general legislation and all the structures
required and all the modes of application required for the
protection of the health and individual security in Quebec.
You know that it's been officially recorded that evitable,
in other words, needless, deaths, mutilation, and industrial
sickness is costing us year after year more, if you want to

...3
put it in terms of money, and you can't even evaluate it in terms of human suffering, but more economically than the sum total of all working days lost on account of conflict and strikes, that are so easy to dramatize.

Well until that's corrected that is one absolute priority.

On Friday, in his first lengthy English language interview since the opening of the session, the Premier returned to the theme of good government. I asked him whether good government and Quebec independence were clearly different things and whether the first must be achieved before the second.

Well yes, at least as much as possible I would like to keep the two things separate in the sense that people will have to assess, and we hope to give them positive proof in that field, will have to assess the quality of government. But it's also tied inevitably to the future of Quebec.

There's another thing that will make it harder to keep the two subjects separate, is the fact that there's already a very well semi organized defensive by both the head office of the Liberal Party and let's say the forces of federalism, such as it is in Ottawa, and their subsidiary in Quebec, the Liberal Party National Assembly, it's an offensive already developing against us and against what we stand for. So we're expecting to cool it and we still hope to keep it cool for awhile. If they go on a rampage we sure as hell won't let them do it alone.

...4
Well let me bring you back to the time that you went down to the Economic Club of New York and there it looked very much as though it was you who was going on the rampage, and it was you who used those words, separation and independence which now you don't appear to be using any more. You seem to be talking in terms of a new association. I note Mr. LANDRY and Mr. GODIN, for example, talking about Quebec achieving its aim within Confederation, without fracturing confederation. First of all let's forget about the rather quibbling business about independence or sovereignty, we use both words and we've been using them for years. But let's get back to the actual facts. After the election in November Mr. TRUDEAU, among others, in the federal field, plus some ministers who even came and campaigned for the, let's say for the saving of the country during our own election. All of them were more or less on the warpath, politically. Three or four interventions, if I remember right, by Mr. TRUDEAU before the holiday season. And we kept it cool. I went to New York, which was the first, let's say, outing, which was offered, except for the federal/provincial conference where there was a first statement about our intentions, and in New York what I did say was the political option of our government, tying it also, if people remember, to the referendum to which we are committed. But there were only five pages out of twenty-six ...5
from that because it was a reminder, whether people agreed with it or not I couldn't care less, it's a reminder of the basic position of our party for independence, and even as a government we're still, just like the Liberals legitimately can organize against it, we're still for independence. Fifteen pages of that speech were on the P.Q. program, on the Parti Quebecois, and now the government's program economically, socially and all that, and at least a couple of pages were about the new association that we worked for, so there's nothing contradictory in our attitude, the only contradiction seems to be spread around by either federal spokesmen or some of the media who, it's their God given right to do it, pick it up and then try, someways I guess it's news worthy, to find contradictions, but there aren't any.

Well I don't think it's anybody's God given right to go around spreading confusion on an issue that's as important as this.

Trying to pick contradictions, I think, is part of the game. But I don't think we ever contradicted ourselves.

It's the shape of independence to come that we are most interested in. What will the new form of association be? Will the people decide what the new form of association will be?

Yes, they're bound to. After all we are in a democratic context and it's up to people to assess things and take...
important decisions like that. But the basic decision has to be made about the tired question what does Quebec want? Okay. There will be a referendum. How it will be spelled out as a question, as you know, is always a very important part of any referendum, any basic plebicite about the future of a people, about where they want to go. Will it incorporate something about a new association, or will it be just more of a sort of black and white choice? I don't know, we haven't gone to that yet, we're studying the basic requirements of what we hope will be an honest and rational referendum.

You are most frequently quoted as saying that you favour an association of the kind that exists in Europe with the economic community there. Yet the argument that's used against that, of course is, that the way the community is moving is towards a greater political unity and you wish to move away from a greater political unity.

Well I've often said, and I wasn't alone in saying it, that first of all all this abstract perspective which people are forever seeing of Europe moving into some sort of melting pot United States like, in a federal system, all that abstract argument's been going on for about twenty years if not more, and what we do see is not that, not at all. One of the latest developments along the years in the common market in the European union, was when Great Britain moved in and had to have a referendum of staying in or opting
out, and moved in with very definite specifications about the sovereignty of Great Britain, including Buckingham Palace and everything else. In other words, there was the staunch attitude that Britain will move in for economic reasons for a lot of co-operation but will remain British.

On the other hand, of course, you have French nationalists saying that if it goes on as it is now, this United States of Europe, you're aware of that famous quotation, that France will become the Quebec of Europe.

Yes, that was Mr. DeBray (ph) I think, a few months back and I'm still trying to figure out once in a while when it comes back what the hell he meant. But anyway, the basic attitude of all European countries has always been to recoil (ph) from any kind of 18th or 19th century American style, or Canadian style federalism because Italians, Germans, Luxemburgers and Belgians, as much as Britain's feel, and French, that they have to conserve enough home economy, in other words enough basic independence inside a common market so that they can do their own thing in their own country. The co-operation they've had as an economic unit, with ups and downs, still has managed to make them the biggest commercial ensemble in the world and has given them a lot of progress.

Let me put to you the argument most frequently made by Mr. Trudeau that the only way that French language and culture
can survive is within the framework of this present federalism that we have. That if we didn't have federalism the French language would gradually be sublimated to a secondary language of the countryside, presumably. How do you treat that argument.

I think that is a very superficial attitude and one that isn't, that's obvious in many ways in Mr. TRUDEAU's case, one that isn't in contact with Quebec reality and Quebec evolution. In other words, if, for instance, national existence, or nationhood, was necessary for Canada, without the language question, for Canada itself to affirm some sort of identity and eventually coalescent to a country, you know, next door to the United States. But the sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander with additional reason when you have a different language. In other words, national institutions that we control ourselves without continous inroads that present federalism is making into a lot of Quebec aspirations and also Quebec even everyday needs for development is much more of a danger I think to the survival of French and especially its development as a society, as a full fledged adult society.

Could you give me an example.

An example?

An example of how

To give you a simple example, okay, let's take one, and we're just talking about the cultural aspect, language, things like
that because there are many other things that I could spell out, and that we are going to spell out over the next couple of years. But one aspect, immigration, immigration has a hell of a lot to do with the balance of a society with its ethnic development, with also its cultural outlook depending on how you recruit your immigrant. Well the fact that the federal government, which is normally, and that's absolutely legitimate, it's a heavily English speaking majority which populates Canada, the fact that the federal administration is basically English speaking is also reflected in immigration policies, and the end result is that over the last few years, for instance, there is a growing proportion, if I'm not mistaken, that's easy to check, of people who come into, not just Canada but Quebec, who are English speaking from the word go, or more or less tailored to assimilate more easily, like northern Europe for instance, or people like that, to assimilate into the English mainstream. Well that is absolutely a policy that goes counter to Quebec community interests, at least as far as the French majority is concerned, and we think of ourselves as a national group. Now if you go back to even a guy like, what was his name, the politician in England that went on a rampage because Enoch Powell.

LEVESQUE

Powell, there were one million, I think, or there abouts, coloured people from the former empire coming into good old
Britain, and went on a rampage on account of that because he was dramatizing, over dramatizing that fact. Well there's only six million of us and as you know the birth rate has gone down and if we don't have a grasp of what's called immigration policy, then I think federalism, in a case like that goes counter to even the basic survival of the French society in Quebec. That's one example.

U/M

Well you're not going to compare your position with that of Enoch Powell who I well remember was predicting rivers of blood flowing in the streets.

LEVESQUE

I'm just giving that as an example of the fact that even a racist, intolerant politician like Enoch Powell could get some mileage, even in a democratic country like Britain, over one million out of fifty-five million who came in from former colonies. He could get mileage on that. We're not trying to be racist or anything, we say we don't have to get political mileage out of a case like that because in West Montreal and the West end of the province of Quebec, if things go on, eventually what we have is a society more or less going down the drain.

U/M

There's a fine line here Mr. LEVESQUE. between what you're talking about and outright Powellism, or racism. But what Powell did is that he appealed to

LEVESQUE

No, no, that's the kind of preoccupation that English speaking Canada has had for over a hundred years of preferential treatment for immigration that could adapt to a majority.

...11
You know there were free passage, I don't know if it's still going on, but it was a tradition of free passage and of intensive recruitment throughout the Commonwealth starting with Britain, of English speaking, or let's say Anglo Saxon stock immigrants, as Canadian policy. That wasn't considered racist because it meant keeping a balance from the Canadian point of view. So what the hell can be opposed to Quebec doing the same thing when it has at least a dozen more reasons to do it. It doesn't mean excluding others, it means having the right to a preferential immigration policy.

I think your argument is weak in as much as French people, for example, from France, have never shown any great inclination to come here. Isn't that also true?

Hasn't it every crossed your mind that if you look at the history of, I don't mean ancient history, we're not that old a structure, but as the history of the Canadian immigration policy, I'll spell it out again but with more stress, that you could find an extremely active and well rooted presence of immigration offices of the Canadian government in Great Britain, in other parts of the Commonwealth eventually, but very little in French speaking countries. You know when you don't have a policy it's a bit more difficult to get immigration. You know it's very recent that except for more or less token offices, new offices under the pressure of coming out of Quebec, were opened and let's say a bit

...12
lackadaisically, in France for instance, or other French speaking countries.

U/M Let me go back also, I mean you mentioned the fact that people coming from abroad who are English speaking into Quebec, this militates against the survival of French language

LEVESQUE If they become ...(word not clear as other man's voice over it)... 

U/M Yes but aren't these people also, who come from English speaking countries and may wish to speak English, don't they also contribute towards the economy?

LEVESQUE Yes, alright, if they become an avalanche it goes against the God given right of any national society, including ours, to keep on going.

U/M The referendum. Mr. LÉGER is quoted as saying a short time ago that, Marcel LÉGER, that if it goes to, or when we get to the actual referendum we can write off 20% of the people right away, the Anglophone and other ethnic minorities.

LEVESQUE No, he didn't say that. What Mr. LÉGER said and I was there at the National Council of our party, was that we cannot count on too substantial support from that 20% so we'd better work like hell in order to get as much support as possible from the majority French people in Quebec. He didn't exclude them. He said we can't count very much on their support.

U/M We all knew what he was saying.

LEVESQUE Well after all, look, let's face it, it's true.
Yes, it is true, but if we've had the referendum, the negotiations with Ottawa, if we separate, become independent or have a new association, there will be a very substantial minority, I'm sure you will admit, who will be opposed to the very existence of the state of affairs at that time. Now does this make the stability, political stability, in your view, given the history of other similar movements elsewhere in the world.

Yes, one that's brought up very frequently is Northern Ireland, to a man named Walkland (ph), I guess you don't have to recall that, but there are differences in other set ups. The Irish one being a good example. When national independence, let's say, came, it came to direct occurrences and long standing occurrences of violence. There was blood, quite a lot of it, which made for a lot of polarization and a sense of violence and a sense of rejection, you know, one way or the other. I think hopefully we can exclude that. I firmly, confidently believe, you know, in our forecast we can exclude that. There is a democratic process going on which will be embodied in votes, referendums, what have you. And eventually solutions will be arrived at. I think people will then reject it, and there will be some people among the English speaking minority in Quebec, we know that, who reject it in the sense that we can't live with that, you know. They have the whole continent around them, you know, as a refuge for their aspirations so I think some will leave. Some are
doing it already, let's face it. Some already are doing it. I read the papers like everybody else and I read that there is a better market for people who want to buy houses in West Highland. I deplore it because I think Quebec will be a healthier and a more vibrant place to live in and I think the younger generations are adapting to this perspective and will be adapting year after year. But there are some people, especially older people who can afford it, let's say, or feel that they have to afford it, who will feel like leaving when it comes, if it comes. Of course it's sad, but you know, that's part of change.

It is sad. It's very easy for us to sit here and say well of course if we don't like it we can always leave, but there's another bunch of people

I'm not putting it like that, I'm saying it's part of the possible eventualities. Can we help it? Are we going to stop change which is required by a whole population, from our point of view, and a growing number actively want it and think that it may be a healthier future for Quebec and even a healthier future for Canada because some people are going to be against it. What's left of democracy if you have to stop the normal evolution of a society because some people won't like it.

Well exactly, there is a body of opinion at the moment, a growing body of opinion, which would have in mind to separate from you. Do you take this seriously?

...15
No.
No way.

No way because limits and dimensions, even in the present constitution of Canada, of Quebec, the populated part of Quebec anyway, there's no ambiguity about that, were set out over a hundred years ago and that's the way they are and that's the way they stand. Otherwise we start having this, and I think that will be the most horrible perspective, we start having movements I suppose in Northern New Brunswick to join Quebec, and somewhere else to get out of Quebec. No, who I think people can't accept it, and definitely want to leave, should do the democratic thing when it comes, the time comes, and they leave, it's not a concentration camp, with their goods and with everything they want to take with them, like you do in all civilized societies. But you don't take the country with you.

One issue of course which does tend to polarize, and we always come back to the same point when we are talking to you and that is education, language of education. Could you say what form of language of education law you favour with regard to who can send their children to English schools.

As I understand it there is an extreme, or one point of view represented by Mr. Lorach (ph) Emilie Lorach, which is that unless both of your parents have been through the elementary school system in Quebec, that the children cannot go through the same system.

...16
Would you allow me to stop you there because we're going to be wasting time. You know there's been a lot of speculation in the papers, some of informed, some of it more or less like possible scenarios, the legislation we're working very, very hard and very intensely to bring it to the House as quickly as possible because there is uncertainty about the school situation and September is not so very far away. People have to know how to deal with the next school year, so we're going to do our best to come to an agreement and come to a printed bill as soon as possible, but I can't give you any kind of preview of the bill. The one thing I will say is that contrary to what you picked up, in the specific cases of yours trule and Dr. LAURENT, the minister responsible for the project, there is, as far as I know from last night, complete agreement on all the main, all the essentials of the legislation, but to spell them out would be, you know the opposition's already hollering about some of the few things, in a very general way we've told people about our program. But if I start spelling out the possible legislation in a television program I'm going to get hell. Alright, but I'm sure you can at least say what form you would personally favour.

No, I have to do it in a very general way in the sense that personally I not only agree, or endorse the fact that the preeminent second language, and always necessary for Quebec people, French Quebec, as well as for our English minority,
the preeminent second language will always be English and second, that there are historical and let's say purely human roots of great value that we have to take account of in our English speaking minority, and we have to respect that, and legislation will have to show respect for that I think. Because it would be, in a sense, respecting ourselves. We want to have a civilized outlook, and I think it's a sort of criteria of civilization that if you have minority people with you they should be guaranteed their God given right to go on.

But not to expand.

Not to expand through immigration and other remote controlled policies, against you own existence. That's for sure.

Changing the subject completely now. Well not really. Mr. TRUDEAU has been part of this. He's experiencing a resurgence of popularity for the past few days.

Yes, so I've read.

He's up not to about 43%, I think was the last figure, and this is said to be related to your very existence as a government. How do you assess that?

Well I think up to a point it may be related to the relative, let's say

(End of program).
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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Événements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DÉCEMBRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Émission anglaise - Radio-Canada - ligne ouverte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CKUL - bilan de l'année pour le PQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Enregistrements de vœux de Noël - CJMS - Maurice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enregistrement - CKAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANVIER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rencontre avec Universitaires américains (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enregistrements - CKAC et CJMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Région de Québec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Entrevue pour le journal Le Droit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Conférence de presse sur les Jeux Olympiques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Article pour La Patrie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Tournée dans le comté Frontenac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Entrevue CKCV - Québec - radiothon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Soirée politique - comté Dorion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Enregistrement - Politique provinciale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Tournée de comté - Terrebonne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEVRIER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Entrevue pour la Radio suédoise - Mme Linton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Souper-causerie - Club optimiste de Laval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tournée de comté - Papineau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Enregistrement - CKAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Entrevue - Université de Toronto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Conférence de presse sur les Jeux Olympiques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Entrevue pour Sir George Williams journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Réunion dans Montréal-Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tournée de comté - Lotbinière</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 &amp; 16</td>
<td>Tournée régionale Maurice - Drummond, Nicolet-Yamaska &amp; Trois-Rivières</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Conférence - Assemblée annuelle des citoyens de Dorval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Conférence de presse sur le programme du PQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Enregistrement - CKAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Conférence de presse sur la loi 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Tournée de comté - Rivières-du-Loup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CJAO - ligneouverte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 &amp; 5</td>
<td>Université d'Albertine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Enregistrement - émission de Télé - J'ai le goût du Québec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Conférence de presse - Commission Cîtée</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entrevue - Radio-Canada - Ottawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Québec: CKCV - ligneouverte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Souper-causerie - Association des Directeurs de crédit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tournée de comté - Gouin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Conférence de presse - Opération-Ressources 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 &amp; 16</td>
<td>Tournée régionale Rive-Sud - Beaunois &amp; Vaudreuil-Soulanges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Enregistrement - J'ai le goût du Québec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 &amp; 20</td>
<td>Tournée de comté - Lac St-Jean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>